	Case 2:20-cv-00452-KJM-KJN Docume	ent 11	Filed 10/18/21	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JAMES E. BOWERS,	No	. 2:20-cv-0452 K	JM KJN P	
12	Petitioner,				
13	v.	<u>OF</u>	<u>rder</u>		
14	THOMPSON, Warden,				
15	Respondent.				
16					
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas				
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as				
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On August 26, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which				
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the				
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed				
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.				
24	Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the findings and				
25	recommendations was returned and marked "Undeliverable, Refused," petitioner was properly				
26	served. It is petitioner's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all				
27	times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is				
28	fully effective.				
		1			

Case 2:20-cv-00452-KJM-KJN Document 11 Filed 10/18/21 Page 2 of 2

1	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,
2	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed
3	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law
4	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court
5	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
6	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
8	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 26, 2021, are adopted in full;
9	2. This action is dismissed for failure to prosecute without prejudice. See Local Rule
10	110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b);

3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253; and

4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve this order on petitioner at his address of record and at the following address: RRM New York, 100 29th Street, Brooklyn, NY, 11232.

DATED: October 15, 2021.